Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/727,818	HEROD ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MATTHEW J. DANIELS	1791	

All Participants:

(1) *MATTHEW J. DANIELS*.

(2) *Edwin Keusey*.

Status of Application: *Allowance*

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *16 July 2008*

Time: *afternoon*

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
None

Claims discussed:
1

Prior art documents discussed:
None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The enclosed examiner's amendment was proposed for clarification of the claim. Mr. Keusey agreed to the proposed changes.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Matthew J. Daniels/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)